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## Definition

Let $T>0, g: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $e: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be nonconstant and $T$-periodic. Then the equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}=g\left(x, x^{\prime}, e(t)\right)
$$

generates a $T$-periodically forced pump if it has a $T$-periodic solution $x$ such that

$$
g(\bar{x}, 0, \bar{e}) \neq 0
$$

(i.e. the mean value $\bar{x}$ of $x$ is not an equilibrium of $\quad x^{\prime \prime}=g\left(x, x^{\prime}, \bar{e}\right)$.

## 1 tank - 1 pipe model

G. Propst: Pumping effects in models of periodically forced flow configurations.

Physica D 217 (2006), 193-201.


$$
A_{P} \ell(t)+A_{T} h(t) \equiv V_{0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad h(t) \equiv \frac{1}{A_{T}}\left(V_{0}-A_{P} \ell(t)\right) .
$$

## Momentum balance with Poiseuille's law and Bernoulli's equation

G. Propst: Pumping effects in models of periodically forced flow configurations.

Physica D 217 (2006), 193-201.

| $\rho$ | $\ldots$ density of the liquid (constant) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $p(t)$ | $\ldots$ periodic pressure |
| $g$ | $\ldots$ acceleration of gravity |
| $r_{0}$ | $\ldots$ friction coefficient |
| $\zeta$ | $\ldots$ junction coefficient |
| $A_{P} / A_{T}$ | $\ldots$ cross sections of pipe/tank |
| $V_{0}$ | $\ldots$ constant total volume of liquid |
| $w=-\ell^{\prime}$ | $\ldots$ velocity in the pipe |



$$
A_{P} \ell(t)+A_{T} h(t) \equiv V_{0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad h(t) \equiv \frac{1}{A_{T}}\left(V_{0}-A_{P} \ell(t)\right)
$$

Momentum balance with Poiseuille's law and Bernoulli's equation $\Longrightarrow$

$$
\ell \ell^{\prime \prime}+a \ell \ell^{\prime}+b\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)^{2}+c \ell=e(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T>0, \quad a=\frac{r_{0}}{\rho}>0, \quad b=\left(1+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \geq 3 / 2 \\
& e(t)=\frac{g V_{0}}{A_{T}}-\frac{p(t)}{\rho} \text { is } T \text {-periodic, } \quad 0<c=\frac{g A_{p}}{A_{T}}<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## First observations

This leads to singular periodic problem:
(1) $u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)$,
$T>0, a=\frac{r_{0}}{\rho} \geq 0, b=\left(1+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \geq 3 / 2,0<c=\frac{g A_{p}}{A_{T}}<1, e(t)=\frac{g V_{0}}{A_{T}}-\frac{p(t)}{\rho}$.

## First observations

This leads to singular periodic problem:
(1) $u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)$,
$T>0, a=\frac{r_{0}}{\rho} \geq 0, b=\left(1+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \geq 3 / 2, \quad 0<c=\frac{g A_{p}}{A_{T}}<1, e(t)=\frac{g V_{0}}{A_{T}}-\frac{p(t)}{\rho}$.

Multiplying the equation by $u$ and integrating over $[0, T]$ gives

## THEOREM 1

(1) has a positive solution only if $\bar{e} \geq 0$ (i.e. $\left.\bar{p} \leq \rho g \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}\right)$.

## First observations

This leads to singular periodic problem:
(1) $u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)$,
$T>0, a=\frac{r_{0}}{\rho} \geq 0, b=\left(1+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \geq 3 / 2, \quad 0<c=\frac{g A_{p}}{A_{T}}<1, e(t)=\frac{g V_{0}}{A_{T}}-\frac{p(t)}{\rho}$.

Multiplying the equation by $u$ and integrating over $[0, T]$ gives

## THEOREM 1

(1) has a positive solution only if $\bar{e} \geq 0$ (i.e. $\left.\bar{p} \leq \rho g \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}\right)$.

## THEOREM 2

If (1) has a positive solution, then its generates a $T$-periodically forced pump.

## Existence of a periodic solution

(1) $\quad u^{\prime \prime}+$
Theorem 3

## Assume:

- $a \geq 0, \quad b>1, \quad c>0$,
- $e$ is continuous and T-periodic on $\mathbb{R}, e_{*}>0$,
- $\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}$.

THEN: (1) has a positive solution.

## Existence of a periodic solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 3

## Assume:

- $a \geq 0, \quad b>1, \quad c>0$,
- $e$ is continuous and T-periodic on $\mathbb{R}, e_{*}>0$,
- $\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}$.

THEN: (1) has a positive solution.

## Definition

A $T$-periodic function $\sigma_{1} \in C^{2}[0, T]$ is a lower function for

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}+f(t, u)=0 \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
$$

if

$$
\sigma_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)+a \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)+f\left(t, \sigma_{1}(t)\right) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T]
$$

while an upper function is defined analogously, but with reversed inequality.

## Sketch of the proof

## STEP 1:

(1) $u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)$,
$x=u^{1 / \mu}, \mu=\frac{1}{b+1} \Longrightarrow$
(1) $\rightsquigarrow$
(2) $\quad x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$,
where

$$
r(t)=\frac{e(t)}{\mu}, \quad s(t)=\frac{c}{\mu}, \alpha=1-2 \mu, \beta=1-\mu .
$$

## Proposition

$u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive solution of (1) iff $x=u^{1 / \mu}$ is a positive solution of (2).

## Sketch of the proof

## STEP 1:

(1) $u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)$,
$x=u^{1 / \mu}, \mu=\frac{1}{b+1} \Longrightarrow$
(1) $\rightsquigarrow$
(2) $\quad x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$,
where

$$
r(t)=\frac{e(t)}{\mu}, \quad s(t)=\frac{c}{\mu}, \alpha=1-2 \mu, \beta=1-\mu .
$$

## Proposition

$u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive solution of (1) iff $x=u^{1 / \mu}$ is a positive solution of (2).
We have:

$$
r_{*}>0, s_{*}>0, \quad 0<\alpha<\beta<1
$$

(2) $x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$, where $f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\beta}$.

STEP 2: We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, x)<0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } 0<x<x_{0}=\left(r_{*} / s^{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)} \\
& f(t, x)>0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } \quad x>x_{1}=\left(r^{*} / s_{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there are constant lower and upper functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ of (2) such that

$$
0<\sigma_{2}<x_{0}<x_{1}<\sigma_{1} .
$$

(2) $x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$, where $f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\beta}$.

STEP 2: We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, x)<0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } 0<x<x_{0}=\left(r_{*} / s^{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)} \\
& f(t, x)>0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } \quad x>x_{1}=\left(r^{*} / s_{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there are constant lower and upper functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ of (2) such that

$$
0<\sigma_{2}<x_{0}<x_{1}<\sigma_{1}
$$

STEP 3: We put $\lambda_{0}=\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}$ and show that there is $\delta_{0} \in\left(0, \sigma_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\lambda(x-\delta)-f(t, x) \geq 0 \text { for } t \in[0, T], \delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right), \lambda \geq \lambda_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad x \in[\delta, \infty)
$$

(2) $x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$, where $f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\beta}$.

STEP 2: We have
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\begin{aligned}
& f(t, x)<0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } 0<x<x_{0}=\left(r_{*} / s^{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)} \\
& f(t, x)>0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } \quad x>x_{1}=\left(r^{*} / s_{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there are constant lower and upper functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ of (2) such that

$$
0<\sigma_{2}<x_{0}<x_{1}<\sigma_{1}
$$

STEP 3: We put $\lambda_{0}=\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}$ and show that there is $\delta_{0} \in\left(0, \sigma_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\lambda(x-\delta)-f(t, x) \geq 0 \text { for } t \in[0, T], \delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right), \lambda \geq \lambda_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad x \in[\delta, \infty)
$$

$$
\lambda x-f(t, x) \geq x^{1-2 \mu}\left(\lambda x^{2 \mu}-s^{*} x^{\mu}+r_{*}\right)
$$

(2) $x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$, where $f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\beta}$.

STEP 2: We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, x)<0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } 0<x<x_{0}=\left(r_{*} / s^{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)}, \\
& f(t, x)>0 \text { for } t \in[0, T] \text { and } \quad x>x_{1}=\left(r^{*} / s_{*}\right)^{1 /(\beta-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there are constant lower and upper functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ of (2) such that

$$
0<\sigma_{2}<x_{0}<x_{1}<\sigma_{1} .
$$

STEP 3: We put $\lambda_{0}=\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}$ and show that there is $\delta_{0} \in\left(0, \sigma_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\lambda(x-\delta)-f(t, x) \geq 0 \text { for } t \in[0, T], \delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right), \lambda \geq \lambda_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad x \in[\delta, \infty)
$$

STEP 4: Choose $\delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right)$, define $\lambda^{*}=\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}$ and

$$
\tilde{f}(t, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
f(t, \delta)+\lambda^{*}(x-\delta) & \text { for } x<\delta \\
f(t, x) & \text { for } x \geq \delta
\end{array}\right.
$$

and consider auxiliary problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{f}(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+\widetilde{f}(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma (Bonheure \& De Coster, 2003)

## ASSUME:

- $\tilde{f}:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous,
- $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are lower and upper functions of (3),
- $\sigma_{2}<\sigma_{1}$ on $[0, T]$,
- there is $p$ continuous on $[0, T]$ such that
$\limsup _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \tilde{f}(t, x) \leq p(t)$ and $\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{f}(t, x)}{x} \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{T^{2}}$ uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$.
THEN: problem (3) has a solution $x$ such that

$$
\sigma_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq x\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \sigma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \text { for some } t_{1} \in[0, T] .
$$

(3) $\quad x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{f}(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$
has a solution $x$ such that $\sigma_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq x\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \sigma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ for some $t_{1} \in[0, T]$.
STEP 5: We show that $x \geq \delta$ for any solution $x$ of (3).
(3) $\quad x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{f}(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)$
has a solution $x$ such that $\sigma_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq x\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \sigma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ for some $t_{1} \in[0, T]$.
STEP 5: We show that $x \geq \delta$ for any solution $x$ of (3).
Put $u=x-\delta$. Then

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+a u^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{*} u(t)=h(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T], u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
$$

where

$$
h(t):=\lambda^{*}(x(t)-\delta)-\tilde{f}(t, x(t)) \geq 0 \text { on }[0, T]
$$

due to STEP 3 and due to our assumption $\lambda^{*}=\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}>\lambda_{0}=\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{f}(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $x$ such that $\sigma_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq x\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \sigma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ for some $t_{1} \in[0, T]$.
STEP 5: We show that $x \geq \delta$ for any solution $x$ of (3).
Put $u=x-\delta$. Then
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u^{\prime \prime}(t)+a u^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{*} u(t)=h(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T], u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
$$

where

$$
h(t):=\lambda^{*}(x(t)-\delta)-\tilde{f}(t, x(t)) \geq 0 \text { on }[0, T]
$$

due to STEP 3 and due to our assumption $\lambda^{*}=\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}>\lambda_{0}=\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}$.

## Lemma (Omari \& Trombetta, 1992)

ASSUME: $a, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, 0<\lambda \leq\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}, h:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
THEN: $\quad u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}+\lambda u=h(t), \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(T)=u^{\prime}(T)$
$\Longrightarrow \quad u \geq 0$ for all $h \geq 0$ on $[0, T]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{f}(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $x$ such that $\sigma_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq x\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \sigma_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)$ for some $t_{1} \in[0, T]$.
STEP 5: We show that $x \geq \delta$ for any solution $x$ of (3).
Put $u=x-\delta$. Then

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+a u^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{*} u(t)=h(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T], u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T)
$$

where

$$
h(t):=\lambda^{*}(x(t)-\delta)-\tilde{f}(t, x(t)) \geq 0 \text { on }[0, T]
$$

due to STEP 3 and due to our assumption $\lambda^{*}=\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}>\lambda_{0}=\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}$.

## Lemma (Omari \& Trombetta, 1992)

ASSUME: $a, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, 0<\lambda \leq\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}, h:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
THEN: $\quad u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}+\lambda u=h(t), \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(T)=u^{\prime}(T)$
$\Longrightarrow \quad u \geq 0$ for all $h \geq 0$ on $[0, T]$.
Hence, $u \geq 0$ on $[0, T]$, i.e. $x \geq \delta$ on $[0, T]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)
$$

## Theorem 3

Assume:

- $f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}$,
- $r, s$ are continuous and positive on $[0, T], 0<\alpha<\beta<1$,

THEN: (2) has a positive solution whenever
$a^{2}$ is large enough or $T$ is small enough.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)
$$

## Theorem 3

## Assume:

- $f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}$,
- $r, s$ are continuous and positive on $[0, T], 0<\alpha<\beta<1$,

THEN: (2) has a positive solution whenever $a^{2}$ is large enough or $T$ is small enough.

Recall that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sufficient condition reads as follows

$$
\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)
$$

## Theorem 3

## Assume:

- $f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}$,
- $r, s$ are continuous and positive on $[0, T], 0<\alpha<\beta<1$,

THEN: (2) has a positive solution whenever $a^{2}$ is large enough or $T$ is small enough.

Recall that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sufficient condition reads as follows

$$
\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4} .
$$

To get a similar explicit bound also for (2), we need a good upper estimate for the roots of the algebraic equation

$$
\lambda x^{1-\alpha}-s^{*} \beta x^{\beta-\alpha}+r_{*} \alpha=0 .
$$

## Application of Krasnoselskii compresion/expansion theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}+m^{2} x=0, \quad x(0)-x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad 0<m^{2}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Then (4) is non-resonant and possesses Green's function $G_{m}(t, s)$ such that

- $G_{m}(t, s)>0$ for all $t, s \in[0, T]$,
- $\int_{0}^{T} G_{m}(t, s) d s=\frac{1}{m^{2}}$,
- there exists $c_{m} \in(0,1)$ such that $G_{m}(s, s) \geq c_{m} G(t, s)$ for all $t, s \in[0, T]$.

Put $P=\left\{x \in C[0, T]: x(t) \geq 0\right.$ on $[0, T]$ and $x(t) \geq c_{m}\|x\|$ on $\left.[0, T]\right\}$.

## Krasnoselskii Fixed Point Theorem

Let $P$ be a cone in $X, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ be bounded open sets in $X$ such that $0 \in \Omega_{1}$ and $\bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$. Let $F: P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow P$ be a completely continuous operator such that one of the following conditions holds:

- $\|F x\| \geq\|x\|$ for $x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|F x\| \leq\|x\|$ for $x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$,
- $\|F x\| \leq\|x\|$ for $x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|F x\| \geq\|x\|$ for $x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then $F$ has a fixed point in the set $P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.

## Application of Krasnoselskii compresion/expansion theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}+m^{2} x=0, \quad x(0)-x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad a \geq 0$ and $0<m^{2}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}$.
Then (4) is non-resonant and possesses Green's function $G_{m}(t, s)$ such that

- $G_{m}(t, s)>0$ for all $t, s \in[0, T]$,
- $\int_{0}^{T} G_{m}(t, s) d s=\frac{1}{m^{2}}$,
- there exists $c_{m} \in(0,1)$ such that $G_{m}(s, s) \geq c_{m} G(t, s)$ for all $t, s \in[0, T]$.

Put $P=\left\{x \in C[0, T]: x(t) \geq 0\right.$ on $[0, T]$ and $x(t) \geq c_{m}\|x\|$ on $\left.[0, T]\right\}$.

## Application of Krasnoselskii compresion/expansion theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}+m^{2} x=0, \quad x(0)-x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a \geq 0 \quad \text { and } 0<m^{2}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Then (4) is non-resonant and possesses Green's function $G_{m}(t, s)$ such that

- $G_{m}(t, s)>0$ for all $t, s \in[0, T]$,
- $\int_{0}^{T} G_{m}(t, s) d s=\frac{1}{m^{2}}$,
- there exists $c_{m} \in(0,1)$ such that $G_{m}(s, s) \geq c_{m} G(t, s)$ for all $t, s \in[0, T]$.

Put $P=\left\{x \in C[0, T]: x(t) \geq 0\right.$ on $[0, T]$ and $x(t) \geq c_{m}\|x\|$ on $\left.[0, T]\right\}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}+s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 4

Assume: $a \geq 0, r, s \in C[0, T], 0<\alpha<\beta<1$,

- there exists $m>0$, with $m^{2}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}$, such that

$$
r(t) x^{\alpha}-s(t) x^{\beta}+m^{2} x \geq 0 \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T], x \geq 0
$$

- $r_{*}>0$ and $s_{*}>0$.

THEN: (2) has a positive solution.

## Application of Krasnoselskii compresion/expansion theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}+s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Corollary 1

ASSUME: $a \geq 0, r, s \in C[0, T], 0<\alpha<\beta<1$.

- $r_{*}>0$ and $s_{*}>0$,
- $s^{*}<\min \left\{\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}, r_{*}\right\}$.

THEN: (2) has a positive solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Corollary 2=Theorem 3

## ASSUME:

- $a \geq 0, \quad b>1, \quad c>0$,
- $e$ is continuous and T-periodic on $\mathbb{R}, e_{*}>0$,
- $\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}$.

THEN: (1) has a positive solution.

## Asymptotic stability

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0, \quad x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma (Omari \& Njoku, 2003)
ASSUME: $\quad a>0$,

- $\sigma_{1}$ is a strict lower function, $\sigma_{2}$ is a strict upper function of (2) and $\sigma_{2}<\sigma_{1}$ on $[0, T]$.
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(t, x) \leq\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \quad$ for $t \in[0, T], x \in\left[\sigma_{2}(t), \sigma_{1}(t)\right]$,
- there is a continuous $\gamma:[0, T] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $\bar{\gamma}>0$ and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(t, x) \geq \gamma(t) \quad \text { for } t \in[0, T], x \in\left[\sigma_{2}(t), \sigma_{1}(t)\right]
$$

Then (2) has at least one asymptotically stable $T$-periodic solution $x$ fulfilling

$$
\sigma_{2} \leq x \leq \sigma_{1} \quad \text { on }[0, T]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)
$$

## Theorem 5

ASSUME: $a>0, f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}$,

- $r, s$ are continuous and positive on $[0, T], 0<\alpha<\beta<1$,
- $\beta s^{*}\left(\frac{s^{*}}{r_{*}}\right)^{(1-\beta) /(\beta-\alpha)}-\alpha r_{*}\left(\frac{s_{*}}{r^{*}}\right)^{(1-\alpha) /(\beta-\alpha)}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}$,
- $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{r^{*}}{s_{*}}<\frac{r_{*}}{s^{*}}$.

THEN: (2) has at least one asymptotically stable positive solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+a x^{\prime}(t)+f(t, x)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
x(0)=x(T), x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(T)
$$

## Theorem 5

ASSUME: $a>0, f(t, x)=s(t) x^{\beta}-r(t) x^{\alpha}$,

- $r, s$ are continuous and positive on $[0, T], 0<\alpha<\beta<1$,
- $\beta s^{*}\left(\frac{s^{*}}{r_{*}}\right)^{(1-\beta) /(\beta-\alpha)}-\alpha r_{*}\left(\frac{s_{*}}{r^{*}}\right)^{(1-\alpha) /(\beta-\alpha)}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}$,
- $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{r^{*}}{s_{*}}<\frac{r_{*}}{s^{*}}$.

THEN: (2) has at least one asymptotically stable positive solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+a u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{u}\left(e(t)-b\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-c, \quad u(0)=u(T), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Corollary

(1) has at least one asymptotically stable positive solution if

$$
\frac{c^{2}\left(b\left(e^{*}\right)^{2}-(b-1)\left(e_{*}\right)^{2}\right)}{e_{*}\left(e^{*}\right)^{2}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad(b-1) e^{*}<b e_{*} .
$$

## Concluding remarks

- $\bar{e}>0$ (i.e. $\bar{p}<g \rho \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}$ ) is the necessary condition for the existence of a positive $T$-periodic solution.
$e_{*}>0$ (i.e. $p^{*}<g \rho \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}$ ) is needed in the sufficient condition for the existence of a positive $T$-periodic solution.


## Concluding remarks

- $\bar{e}>0$ (i.e. $\bar{p}<g \rho \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}$ ) is the necessary condition for the existence of a positive $T$-periodic solution.
$e_{*}>0$ (i.e. $p^{*}<g \rho \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}$ ) is needed in the sufficient condition for the existence of a positive $T$-periodic solution.
- By Theorem 3,

$$
\frac{(b+1) c^{2}}{4 e_{*}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \Longrightarrow \text { existence of a positive } T \text {-periodic solution. }
$$

By Theorem 5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c^{2}\left(b\left(e^{*}\right)^{2}-(b-1)\left(e_{*}\right)^{2}\right)}{e_{*}\left(e^{*}\right)^{2}}<\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad(b-1) e^{*}<b e_{*} \\
& \Longrightarrow \text { existence of a positive and asymptotically } \\
& \text { stable } T \text {-periodic solution. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Concluding remarks

- $\bar{e}>0$ (i.e. $\bar{p}<g \rho \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}$ ) is the necessary condition for the existence of a positive $T$-periodic solution.
$e_{*}>0$ (i.e. $p^{*}<g \rho \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}$ ) is needed in the sufficient condition for the existence of a positive $T$-periodic solution.
- If $b=2, c=1 / 2$, then by Theorem 3,
$\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}\right)\left(p^{*}-g \rho \frac{V_{0}}{A_{T}}\right)>\frac{3}{16} \Longrightarrow$ existence
and by Theorem 5,
$2\left(e^{*}\right)^{2}-\left(e_{*}\right)^{2}<4\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}\right)$ and $\quad \frac{e^{*}}{e_{*}}<\frac{b}{b-1}=2$
$\Longrightarrow$ existence and asymptotic stability.
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