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The existence of heteroclinic orbits for the third order problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u), \quad u(-\infty)=u_{-}, \quad u(+\infty)=u_{+},
$$

arises for instance in the study of regularization of the Cauchy problem for the one-dimensional hyperbolic conservation law
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u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u), \quad u(-\infty)=u_{-}, \quad u(+\infty)=u_{+},
$$

arises for instance in the study of regularization of the Cauchy problem for the one-dimensional hyperbolic conservation law

$$
u_{t}+g(u)_{x}=0, \quad u(0, x)=\bar{u}(x)
$$

It is known that the single shock wave joining the two states $u_{-}$ (on the left) and $u_{+}$(on the right)
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u(t, x):= \begin{cases}u_{-} & \text {for } x<\lambda t \\ u_{+} & \text {for } x>\lambda t\end{cases}
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is a weak solution if and only if its speed $\lambda$ satisfies the Rankine-Hugoniot equation

It is known that the single shock wave joining the two states $u_{-}$ (on the left) and $u_{+}$(on the right)

$$
u(t, x):= \begin{cases}u_{-} & \text {for } x<\lambda t \\ u_{+} & \text {for } x>\lambda t\end{cases}
$$

is a weak solution if and only if its speed $\lambda$ satisfies the Rankine-Hugoniot equation

$$
g\left(u_{+}\right)-g\left(u_{-}\right)=\lambda\left(u_{+}-u_{-}\right)
$$

However weak solutions are in general not unique. A way to regularize the problem is to search for weak solutions which are limits as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$of solutions of

$$
u_{t}^{\varepsilon}+g\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)_{x}=\varepsilon A\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right), \quad u^{\varepsilon}(0, x)=\bar{u}(x)
$$

where $A$ is a differential operator of higher order in $x$ (the viscosity).

A choice of $A$ is admissible, in the sense of Gelfand, if shock wave solutions can be obtained as limits of solutions of
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A choice of $A$ is admissible, in the sense of Gelfand, if shock wave solutions can be obtained as limits of solutions of

$$
u_{t}^{\varepsilon}+g\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)_{x}=\varepsilon A\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right), \quad u^{\varepsilon}(0, x)=\bar{u}(x)
$$

A choice of $A$ is admissible, in the sense of Gelfand, if shock wave solutions can be obtained as limits of solutions of

$$
u_{t}^{\varepsilon}+g\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)_{x}=\varepsilon A\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right), \quad u^{\varepsilon}(0, x)=\bar{u}(x)
$$

When $A$ is a perfect derivative the admissibility is equivalent to the existence of a heteroclinic connection between $u_{-}$and $u_{+}$.

In particular, the question of the admissibility of operator $A(u)=-u_{x x x x}$ leads to problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u), \quad u(-\infty)=u_{-}, \quad u(+\infty)=u_{+},
$$

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
$$


$f(u)$

$0 \leq p(t) \leq M$
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## Proposition

Assume the conditions ( $f 1$ ), ( $p$ ) and $f$ has only isolated zeros.
(1) If $u$ is a solution in $\mathbb{R}$, bounded together with $p u^{\prime}$, then, for $i \in\{1,2,3\}, u^{(i)}( \pm \infty)=0, u(+\infty)=a^{+}$and $u(-\infty)=a^{-}$ with $f\left(a^{ \pm}\right)=0$.
(2) If in addition $u$ is non constant and

$$
\forall x \in\left[-\|u\|_{\infty},\|u\|_{\infty}\right] \backslash\{ \pm 1\}, \quad f(x)\left(x^{2}-1\right)>0
$$

then $u(-\infty)=-1$ and $u(+\infty)=1$.
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(s) $f$ is even.
$\left(s^{\prime}\right) p$ is even.

## STRATEGY to solve the problem
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## Theorem

Assume that hypotheses (f1), (p), (h1), (s) and (s') hold. Then

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
$$

has a odd solution $u \in \mathcal{C B}^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ which is nonnegative in $] 0,+\infty[$ and satisfies

$$
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## Proof.

Claim 1. The BVP has a solution $u_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :
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## Proof.

Step 1.- The modified problem.
We define the function $f^{*}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
f^{*}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
f\left(N_{0}\right), & \text { if } u>N_{0}, \\
f(u), & \text { if } u \in\left[0, N_{0}\right], \\
f(0), & \text { if } u<0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and consider the modified problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f^{*}(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

## Proof.

Step 2.- Reduction to a fixed point problem.
For each $h \in \mathcal{C}([0, n])$, the linear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}-p(t) u^{\prime}=h(t), \quad u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution Ku.

## Proof.

Step 2.- Reduction to a fixed point problem.
Then let $S: \mathcal{C}([0, n]) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, n])$ be given by
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S u=K\left(f^{*}(u)\right) .
$$
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Step 3.- A priori estimates.
For all $\lambda \in[0,1]$, any solution of

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda f^{*}(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

is nonnegative on $[0, n]$.
For any $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in[0,1]$ and any solution $u$ of

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda f^{*}(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

we have,

$$
\text { for all } t \in[0, n], \quad|u(t)| \leq N_{0} .
$$

## Proof.

Step 4.- Conclusion.
By standard results of Leray-Schauder degree theory the equation has a solution for $\lambda=1$, that is, there exists a solution of
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$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f^{*}(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

Moreover we have that $0 \leq u \leq N_{0}$ and hence it is also a solution of

$$
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## Proof.

Claim 2. There exists a number $K>0$ with the property that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{3}([0, n])} \leq K
$$

We first show that $\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, n)}$ is bounded independently of $n$. Multiplying the equation by $u_{n}^{\prime}$ and integrating by parts between

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{n} u_{n}^{\prime \prime 2}(s) d s=-\int_{0}^{n} f\left(u_{n}(s)\right) u_{n}^{\prime}(s) d s-\int_{0}^{n} p(s) u_{n}^{\prime 2}(s) d s \\
\leq-\min _{\left[0, N_{0}\right]} F .
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Proof.

Let us extend $u_{n}$ to $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ with the constant value $u_{n}(n)$ in [ $n,+\infty\left[\right.$, and define $v_{n}$ as the odd extension of $u_{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.
Then $v_{n} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's interpolation inequality, there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|v_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left\|v_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2 / 3}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})}^{1 / 3}
$$
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## Proof.

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|v_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})}=\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, n])}, \quad\left\|v_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}=2\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(0, n)} \\
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, n])}
\end{gathered}
$$

we infer

$$
\sup _{n}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, n])}<\infty
$$

and the differential equation yields

$$
\sup _{n}\left\|u_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}([0, n])}<\infty
$$

So, the claim follows from standard interpolation.

## Proof.

Claim 3. Passing to the limit, the boundary value problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad u(+\infty)=1
$$

has a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^{3}([0,+\infty[)$ which is nonnegative on $[0,+\infty[$ and such that $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.


## Proof.

Claim 4. Extending the solution by symmetry we get an odd solution $u \in \mathcal{C B}^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ which is nonnegative in $] 0,+\infty[$ and satisfies

$$
u^{\prime}( \pm \infty)=u^{\prime \prime}( \pm \infty)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}( \pm \infty)=0
$$
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## Theorem

Assume that hypotheses (f1), (p) and (h2) hold. Then

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
$$

has a solution $u \in \mathcal{C B}^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies

$$
u^{\prime}( \pm \infty)=u^{\prime \prime}( \pm \infty)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}( \pm \infty)=0
$$

## Proof.

Step 1. The BVP has a solution $u_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u^{\prime}(-n)=0, \quad u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$



## Proof. (Step 1.1. The modified problem)

We define the functions $f_{+}, f_{-}:[-n, n] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f_{+}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
f(\beta), & \text { if } u>\beta \\
f(u), & \text { if } u \in[-1, \beta] \\
0, & \text { if } u<-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proof. (Step 1.1. The modified problem)

We define the functions $f_{+}, f_{-}:[-n, n] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f_{-}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & \text { if } u>1, \\
f(u), & \text { if } u \in[\alpha, 1], \\
f(\alpha), & \text { if } u<\alpha .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proof. (Step 1.1. The modified problem)

Then we set

$$
f^{*}(t, u)= \begin{cases}f_{+}(u), & \text { if } t \geq 0 \\ f_{-}(u), & \text { if } t<0\end{cases}
$$

and we consider then the modified problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f^{*}(t, u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u^{\prime}(-n)=0, \quad u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

## Proof. (Step 1.2. Reduction to a fixed point problem)

For each $h \in \mathcal{C}([-n, n])$, the linear problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}-p(t) u^{\prime}=h(t), \quad u^{\prime}(-n)=0, \quad u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

has a unique solution $K(h)$.

## Proof. (Step 1.2. Reduction to a fixed point problem)

Define the open and bounded set
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\begin{gathered}
\Omega=\{u \in \mathcal{C}([-n, n]) \mid u(-n)<1 \text { and } u(n)>-1 \\
\text { and } \alpha<u(t)<\beta \quad \forall t \in[-n, n]\} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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\begin{gathered}
\Omega=\{u \in \mathcal{C}([-n, n]) \mid u(-n)<1 \text { and } u(n)>-1 \\
\text { and } \alpha<u(t)<\beta \quad \forall t \in[-n, n]\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

and let $S: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}([-n, n])$ be given by

$$
S u=K\left(f^{*}(t, u)\right) .
$$

## Proof. (Step 1.2. Reduction to a fixed point problem)

In order to obtain a fixed point we consider the homotopy

$$
u=K\left(\lambda f^{*}(t, u)\right), \quad \lambda \in[0,1],
$$

which is equivalent to the problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda f^{*}(t, u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u^{\prime}(-n)=0, \quad u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0 .
$$

## Proof. (Step 1.3. A priori estimates)
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(1) For $\lambda=0$, the solution $u$ is in $\Omega$.

## Proof. (Step 1.3. A priori estimates)

(1) For $\lambda=0$, the solution $u$ is in $\Omega$.
(2) For $\lambda \in] 0,1]$ and $u$ a solution with $u(-n)<1$ and $u(n)>-1$, we have, $\forall t \in[0, n],-1<u(t)<\beta$ and, $\forall t \in[-n, 0], \alpha<u(t)<1$.

## Proof. (Step 1.3. A priori estimates)

(1) For $\lambda=0$, the solution $u$ is in $\Omega$.
(2) For $\lambda \in] 0,1]$ and $u$ a solution with $u(-n)<1$ and $u(n)>-1$, we have, $\forall t \in[0, n],-1<u(t)<\beta$ and, $\forall t \in[-n, 0], \alpha<u(t)<1$.
(3) For $\lambda \in] 0,1]$, there is no solution on $\partial \Omega$.

## Proof.

Step 2. There exists a number $K>0$ with the property that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the solution $u_{n}$ of

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u^{\prime}(-n)=0, \quad u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{3}([-n, n])} \leq K
$$
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u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u^{\prime}(-n)=0, \quad u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
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satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{3}([-n, n])} \leq K
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## Proof.

Step 2. There exists a number $K>0$ with the property that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the solution $u_{n}$ of

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u^{\prime}(-n)=0, \quad u(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(n)=0
$$

satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{3}([-n, n])} \leq K
$$

Step 3. Passing to the limit, the boundary value problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p(t) u^{\prime}, \quad u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
$$

has a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ and such that $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}$.
( $f 1$ ) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(-1)=f(1)=0$.
(p) $p$ is continuous and $\exists M>0$ such that $0 \leq p(t) \leq M$.
(h2) There exist $\alpha<-1$ and $\beta>1$ such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall u \in[\alpha, \beta] \backslash\{-1,1\}, \quad f(u)\left(u^{2}-1\right)>0, \\
& F(\beta)=F(-1) \quad \text { and } \quad F(\alpha)=F(1) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$(f 1) f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(-1)=f(1)=0$.
(p) $p$ is continuous and $\exists M>0$ such that $0 \leq p(t) \leq M$.
(h2) There exist $\alpha<-1$ and $\beta>1$ such that,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall u \in[\alpha, \beta] \backslash\{-1,1\}, f(u)\left(u^{2}-1\right)>0 \\
F(\beta)=F(-1) \quad \text { and } \quad F(\alpha)=F(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

(h3) $f$ is nondecreasing on $[0, \beta]$ and nonincreasing on $[\alpha, 0]$;
$(f 1) f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $f(-1)=f(1)=0$.
(p) $p$ is continuous and $\exists M>0$ such that $0 \leq p(t) \leq M$.
(h2) There exist $\alpha<-1$ and $\beta>1$ such that,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall u \in[\alpha, \beta] \backslash\{-1,1\}, f(u)\left(u^{2}-1\right)>0 \\
F(\beta)=F(-1) \quad \text { and } \quad F(\alpha)=F(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

$(h 3) f$ is nondecreasing on $[0, \beta]$ and nonincreasing on $[\alpha, 0]$;
(h4) $f$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{0} F(s) d s>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{\beta} F(s) d s<0
$$

## Theorem

Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and satisfies ( $f 1$ ), (h2), (h3) and (h4). In addition assume $p$ is a nonnegative constant. Then

$$
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=f(u)+p u^{\prime}, \quad u(-\infty)=-1, \quad u(+\infty)=1
$$

has a unique (up to translations) solution $u \in \mathcal{C B}^{3}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover $u$ has a unique simple zero and

$$
u^{\prime}( \pm \infty)=u^{\prime \prime}( \pm \infty)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}( \pm \infty)=0
$$

## Many thanks for your attention...
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